

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 12/08/2006

APPLICATION NO.	F	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/532,853 04/27/2005		Joerg Haffelder	10191/4059	1967	
26646	7590	12/08/2006		EXAMINER	
KENYON		ON LLP	ESHETE, ZELALEM		
ONE BROADWAY NEW YORK, NY 10004				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				3748	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/532,853	HAFFELDER ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Zelalem Eshete	3748		

		Zeidielli Estiete	3740	
•	The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
HE REPL	Y FILED 14 November 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	S APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.	
this a place a Rec time	eply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or application, applicant must timely file one of the follow as the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No quest for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance periods:	wing replies: (1) an amendment, aft stice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in ce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply m	fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) 💹 T	he period for reply expires $\underline{3}$ months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.		
n	The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A to event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin	g date of the final reject	ion.
Textensions of the second of t	Examiner Note. If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) of two MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7 of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exert 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) F APPEAL	06.07(f). on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.ftension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origor than three months after the mailing da	136(a) and the appropria of the fee. The appropr inally set in the final Off	ate extension fee iate extension fee ice action; or (2) as
2. ☐ The I filing a No	Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte tice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	o avoid dismissal of th	
AMENDME				
(a) 🗵	proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, They raise new issues that would require further co They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	nsideration and/or search (see NO		ecause
· · · —	They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or		educing or simplifying	the issues for
(d) [They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rej	jected claims.	
	NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1	16 and 41.33(a)).		
1 The	amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
	licant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)			
non-a	vly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a allowable claim(s).			
how The	ourposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) the new or amended claims would be rejected is prostatus of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: n(s) allowed:		ill be entered and an	explanation of
	n(s) objected to: 13.			
	n(s) rejected: <u>9,10,12,14-16</u> .			
	n(s) withdrawn from consideration: TOR OTHER EVIDENCE			
3. 🔲 The a beca	affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but use applicant failed to provide a showing of good aront earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
9. 🔲 The a	affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing red because the affidavit or other evidence failed to ving a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessal	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a
	affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims after e	entry is below or attac	hed.
11. 🔲 The	request for reconsideration has been considered by	ut does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	nce because:
	ethe attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). er: The drawings filed on 4/27/05 are accepted.	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
10. M O(II	or. The drawings med on 4/2/100 are accepted.		There	-
			THOMAS D	
			SUPERVISORY PATE	

THOMAS DENION
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700

Continuation of 3. NOTE: the amendments with respect to "multi-stage" fault signal changes the scope of the claim; therefore, require further search and consideration.